arXiv:hep-th/9804128v1 20 Apr 1998

imsc/98/04/16

General solution of the non-abelian Gauss law and non-abelian analogs of the Hodge decomposition

Pushan Majumdar [*], H.S.Sharatchandra [†]
Institute of Mathematical Sciences,C.I.T campus Taramani. Madras 600-113

Abstract

General solution of the non-abelian Gauss law in terms of covariant curls and gradients is presented. Also two non-abelian analogs of the Hodge decomposition in three dimensions are addressed. i) Decomposition of an isotriplet vector field $V_i^a(x)$ as sum of covariant curl and gradient with respect to an arbitrary background Yang-Mills potential is obtained. ii) A decomposition of the form $V_i^a = B_i^a(C) + D_i(C)\phi^a$ which involves non-abelian magnetic field of a new Yang-Mills potential C is also presented. These results are relevant for duality transformation for non-abelian gauge fields.

PACS No.(s) 11.15.-q 04.20.-q

Typeset using REVTEX

---

[*] e-mail:pushan@imsc.ernet.in

[†] e-mail:sharat@imsc.ernet.in

Yang-Mills theory has the conjugate variables $\vec{A}_i(x)$ and $\vec{E}_i(x)$, where $\vec{A}_i(x) = A_i^a(x), (i, a = 1, 2, 3)$. $\vec{A}_i(x)$ is the Yang-Mills potential and $\vec{E}_i(x)$ is the non-abelian electric field. There is a first class constraint, the Gauss law,

$$\partial_i \vec{E}_i + \vec{A}_i \times \vec{E}_i = 0. \tag{1}$$

This particular constraint is also present in Ashtekar formulation of gravity. Usually this constraint is handled by "fixing a gauge". However it is of interest to obtain a parametrization of the "physical phase space", i.e. the part of the phase space which satisfies the constraint (1). This would give the physical degrees of freedom. For other approaches to handle the Gauss law, see ref. [1]. We are interested in a general solution of (1) in terms of local fields. This is of relevance for duality transformation of Yang-Mills theory [2] [3] [4]. Note that in the abelian case the Gauss law constraint $\partial_i E_i = 0$ has the solution $E_i = \epsilon_{ijk}\partial_j C_k$. Here C_k turns out to be the dual gauge potential which couples minimally to magnetic matter.

In analogy to the abelian case, we consider the ansatz

$$E_i = \epsilon_{ijk}[D_j[A], C_k]. \tag{2}$$

Here we are using the matrix notation; $A_i = \vec{A}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}/2$ etc., where σ^a are the Pauli matrices and $D_j[A] = \mathbf{1}\partial_j + A_i$. Substituting in (1) and using the Jacobi identity, we get,

$$[B_i[A], C_i] = 0 \tag{3}$$

where sum over i is implied. Here

$$\vec{B}_i[A](x) = \epsilon_{ijk}(\partial_j \vec{A}_k + \frac{1}{2}\vec{A}_j \times \vec{A}_k) \tag{4}$$

is the non-abelian magnetic field. We consider a generic case where the 3×3 matrix B_i^a is invertible in a certain region of space. Then we may use B_i^a to "lower" the index a in C_i^a:

$$C_i^a = C_{ij} B_j^a. \tag{5}$$

From (3), we get, $|B|(B^{-1})_a^k(\epsilon_{ijk}C_{ij}) = 0$, where $|B| = det(B_i^a)$. Therefore equation (3) is satisfied if and only if C_{ij} is an arbitrary symmetric matrix. Thus we have obtained a class of solutions $E_i = \epsilon_{ijk}(B_l[A]\partial_j C_{lk} + [D_j[A], B_l[A]]C_{lk}$. This presents the solution as a covariant curl in close analogy to the abelian case.

The symmetric tensor field C_{ij} has six degrees of freedom at each x. Therefore it would appear that the solution in terms of the gauge invariant field C_{ij} is a general solution. We now argue that this is not the case.

Two fields C and C' give the same solution of the non-abelian Gauss law if

$$\epsilon_{ijk}[D_j[A], e_k] = 0, \tag{6}$$

where $e_k = (C - C')_k$. This is precisely the equation for a driebein e_i^a to be torsion free with respect to the connection one form $\omega_i^{ab} = \epsilon^{abc}A_i^c$. This situation has been analyzed in detail in [5]. For any A_i^a there are solutions $e_i^a[A, \theta]$ labeled by an arbitrary triplet of fields $\vec{\theta}(x)$.

This means that our ansatz (2) gives solutions labeled by only three degrees of freedom at each x.

In order to obtain a general solution of equation (1), we include a covariant gradient also in the ansatz:

$$E_i = \epsilon_{ijk}[D_j[A], C_k] + [D_i[A], \phi]. \tag{7}$$

This satisfies equation (1) if

$$[B_i[A], C_i] + [D_i[A], [D_i[A], \phi]] = 0 \tag{8}$$

Using (5) this may be rewritten as

$$\epsilon_{ijk} C_{ij} = -\frac{1}{|B|} \vec{B}_k \cdot D^2[A]\vec{\phi}. \tag{9}$$

For any ϕ, this locally determines the antisymmetric part of C_{ij}. Thus we get a general solution parametrized by an arbitrary symmetric tensor field C_{ij} and an isotriplet scalar field $\phi^a(x)$. Alternately we may choose C_i^a arbitrary and adjust ϕ to satisfy (9).

In contrast to the abelian case, the (covariant) gradient necessarily appears in the general solution, though it is determined by the (covariant) curl part. Here C and $C + e[A, \theta]$ reproduce the same solution. This is the analog of the fact that both C_i and $C_i + \partial_i \theta$ give the solution of the Gauss law in Maxwell theory. Our solution (7) is the analog of the Poincare lemma for the non-abelian case.

Thus the gauge invariant second rank tensor C_{ij}, in equation (5), (modulo the invariance $C \to C + e[A, \theta]$) completely describes the physical degrees of freedom of the Yang-Mills theory.

Given an E_i satisfying the non-abelian Gauss law (1), construction of C and ϕ is as follows. Applying covariant curl on both sides of (7), we get,

$$\epsilon_{ijk}[D_j[A], E_k] = -[D_j[A], [D_j[A], C_i]] + [D_j[A], [D_i[A], C_j]] + [B_i[A], \phi] \tag{10}$$

Now from (8)

$$\phi = -D^{-2}[A][B_j, C_j]. \tag{11}$$

Substituting this ϕ in the previous equation, we get a second order equation for C in terms of E. The solution C is of course not unique because C and $C + e[A, \theta]$, both give the same E. In other words the second order operator acting on C has many null eigen-vectors. It has been argued in [5], that by requiring C to satisfy a "gauge condition" such as $\partial_i C_i = 0$ or $D_i C_i = 0$, we get a unique solution for C. Thus C maybe computed and also ϕ using (11).

We may use the above results for obtaining the non-abelian analogs of the Hodge decomposition. Consider any isotriplet vector field $V_i^a(x)$. We first consider a decomposition of V_i as a sum of a covariant curl and a covariant gradient with respect to any specified Yang-Mills potential $A_i^a(x)$. Consider $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_i = \vec{V}_i - D_i[A]D^{-2}[A](D_j[A]\vec{V}_j)$. Here we are presuming that the covariant laplacian $D^2[A]$ has no zero eigenvalues and is therefore invertible. This would be true for fields vanishing rapidly at infinity on $\mathbf{R}^3$. Thus any V_i^a has a unique decomposition $\vec{V}_i = D_i[A]\vec{\chi} + \vec{\mathcal{E}}_i$ where $D_i\vec{\mathcal{E}}_i = 0$. For $\mathcal{E}_i$ we have a general decomposition as in (7). Thus we have a decomposition of V_i into covariant curl and covariant gradient.

The above procedure may also be generalized when the covariant laplacian $D^2[A]$ has null eigen-vectors, for example on compact manifolds. In this case a "harmonic form" is also required for the decomposition. We may expect this harmonic part to have a cohomological interpretation.

Next we consider a different non-abelian analog of the Hodge decomposition. We seek a decomposition,

$$\vec{V}_i = \vec{B}_i[C] + D_i[C]\vec{\phi} \tag{12}$$

in terms of the non-abelian magnetic field and covariant gradient with respect to a new gauge potential C. Note that in contrast to the previous case, $B_i[C]$ is non-linear in C. Therefore even if the decomposition exists, the reconstruction of C is not easy. In case a specified background field A is "close" to C,

$$\vec{V}_i - \vec{B}_i[A] \simeq \epsilon_{ijk} D_j[A]\Delta\vec{C}_k + D_i[A]\vec{\phi} \tag{13}$$

where $\Delta\vec{C}_k = \vec{C}_k - \vec{A}_k$. Thus the previous decomposition may be regarded as a special case of this when the given vector field V_i is "close" to $B_i[A]$ for the specified Yang-Mills potential A_i.

We first note that $B_i[C]$ and $D_i[C]\phi$ represent independent degrees of freedom of V_i just as curl and gradient. As a consequence of the bianchi identity, the inner product $\int d^3x \vec{B}_i[C] \cdot D_i[C]\vec{\phi} = \int dS_i\, \vec{\phi} \cdot \vec{B}_i$ which gives the first Chern class. For fields vanishing rapidly at infinity, this is zero. Moreover the equation $\vec{B}_i[C] = D_i[C]\vec{\phi}$ is precisely the Bogomolnyi equation. All solutions of this are known by ADHM construction and are labeled by positions and (isospin) orientations of (anti-)monopoles. In case we require fields vanish faster than r^{-1} at infinity, then there are effectively no solutions. Thus $B_i[C]$ and $D_i[C]\phi$ represent distinct degrees of freedom.

In order to construct C and ϕ, we consider an interpolation procedure. Consider

$$\lambda\vec{V}_i = \vec{B}_i[C(\lambda)] + D_i[C(\lambda)]\vec{\phi}(\lambda) \tag{14}$$

where

$$C(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n C^{(n)} \text{ and } \phi(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \phi^{(n)} \tag{15}$$

Terms linear in λ give $\vec{V}_i = \epsilon_{ijk}\partial_j\vec{C}_k^{(1)} + \partial_i\vec{\phi}^{(1)}$, which is just the usual Hodge decomposition of V_i. We know the decomposition exists and is unique. $C_k^{(1)}$ and $C_k^{(1)} + \partial_k\Lambda$, both give the same decomposition. Terms quadratic in λ gives $\epsilon_{ijk}\partial_j\vec{C}_k^{(2)} + \partial_i\vec{\phi}^{(2)} = -\epsilon_{ijk}\vec{C}_j^{(1)} \times \vec{C}_k^{(1)} - \vec{C}_i^{(1)} \times \vec{\phi}^{(1)}$. $C^{(1)}$ and $\phi^{(1)}$ are already known. Hence $\phi^{(2)}$ and $C^{(2)}$ are determined. Again the gradient part of $C^{(2)}$ is arbitrary. This way all the $C^{(n)}$'s and $\phi^{(n)}$'s are determined successively. If we impose a "gauge condition" such as $\partial_i\vec{C}_i^{(n)} = 0$, $C^{(n)}$ and $\phi^{(n)}$ are unique at each stage. This interpolation procedure makes the connection to the usual Hodge decomposition explicit and provides a plausible technique for reconstucting C and ϕ. We do not address the question of convergence in (15), but provide an alternate procedure for reconstruction of C and ϕ below.

A way of avoiding interpolation is as follows. Consider,

$$\vec{\mathcal{E}}_i[C] = \vec{V}_i - D_i[C]D^{-2}[C]D_j[C]\vec{V}_j \tag{16}$$

which satisfies $D_i[C]\vec{\mathcal{E}}_i[C] = 0$. We have to choose C, such that

$$\vec{e}_i[C, \theta] \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}_i[C] = 0 \tag{17}$$

for every driebein $e_i[C, \theta]$ which is torsion free with respect to the connection one form C. Then, $\mathcal{E}_i[C]$ is the non-abelian magnetic field $B_i[C]$ and we have the decomposition (12).

It is clear that the gradient part is present for an arbitrary V_i. $B_i[C]$ provides only six degrees of freedom at each x and ϕ the other three. Thus it is not possible to write an arbitrary V_i as a non-abelian magnetic field. The conditions that V_i has to satisfy in order to be a non-abelian magnetic field are presented in [5].

We have obtained a general solution of the non-abelian Gauss law in close analogy to the Poincare lemma. We have used it to address the non-abelian analog of the Hodge decomposition. These are useful for duality transformation of Yang-Mills theory [2] [3] [4].

One of us (H.S.S.) thanks Prof. Ramesh Anishetty and Dr.G.H.Gadyar for some important remarks.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Goldstone and R. Jackiw, Phys. Lett. **B74** (1978),81; V. Baluni and B. Grossman, Phys. Lett. **B78** (1978), 226; A. Izergin et.al., Teo. Mat. Fiz **38** (1979), 3; S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. **D19** (1979), 2391; A. Migdal, Phys. Rep. **102** (1983), 199; M. B. Halpern, Phys. Rev. **D16** (1977), 3515; R. Anishetty, G. H. Gadiyar, Manu Mathur and H. S. Sharatchandra, Phys. Lett. **B271** (1991), 391; R. Anishetty and H. S. Sharatchandra, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65** (1990), 813; R. Anishetty, Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991), 1895; B. Gnanapragasam and H. S. Sharatchandra, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992), R1010.

[2] M. B. Halpern, Phys. Rev. **D19** (1979), 517; D. Z. Freedman, P.E. Haagensen, K. Johnson and J. I. Latorre, CERN-TH.7010/93, CTP #2238, hep-th 9309045 (September 1993); M. Bauer, D. Z. Freedman and P. E. Haagensen, Nucl. Phys. **B428** (1994), 147.

[3] P. E. Haagensen and K. Johnson, Nucl. Phys. **B439** (1995), 597.

[4] H. S. Sharatchandra, “Solution of the non-abelian Gauss law and duality transformation for 3+1-d Yang Mills theory”, Proceedings of “Workshop on frontiers of field theory, string theory and quantum gravity, Puri, Dec.12-21, 1996.” (To appear.)

[5] Pushan Majumdar and H. S. Sharatchandra, hep-th/9804091